EXHIBIT A

Nixon Peabody Subpoena

. ,	United Sta	ites District C	Court
Eastern.		Massachuset	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_ DISTRICT OF	
Federal Expre	ess Corporation,		
Plaintiff		SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE	
	V.	1	01-2503 MA/BRE
Accu-Sort Systems, Inc., Defendant.		CASE NUMBER:	U.S. District Court
		Western District of Tennessee	
Nixon Peat	-	•	
TO: 101 Federa Boston, MA	4 02110-1832		•
	•		·
☐ YOU ARÉ C	OMMANDED to appear in the Unite	d States District Court at the pla	ace, date, and time specified below to
lestify in the abo			<u></u>
NACE OF TESTIMONY			COUNTROOM
			-
		1	DATE AND TIME
	<u> </u>		
YOU ARE C	OMMANDED to appear at the place,	, date, and time specified below	to testify at the taking of a deposition
n the above case	•		
PLACE OF DEPOSITION		-	DATE AND TIME
			•
			
	OMMANDED to produce and permit time specified below (list document		following documents or objects झे the ेंग
•		.s or objection	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
See Schedule A	A attached hereto.		8
			DATE AND TIME
Volpe and			April 23, 2004 at 9:00 a.m.
•	uite 1600, 30 South 17th Street A 19103, o <u>r at another mutuall</u> y	. 1	
	<u> </u>	•	
	OMMANDED to permit inspection of	of the following premises at the	DATE AND TIME
remisės	, '	·	**************************************
•_		·	
	<u> </u>		
Any organiza	ation not a party to this suit that is a	subpoensed for the taking of a d	eposition shall designate one of more
officers, directors	i, or managing agents, or other pen d, the matters on which the persor	ions who consent to testify on will testify "Faderal Rules of C	its behalf, and may set forth, for each
erson designate	d, the matters on which the person three and title endicate is attorney for P	AINTHEF OR DEFENDANT)	DATE
11.1		for Defendant	4-7-04
//////	1		
SUMO OFFICERS HAN	John J.	O'Malley, Esquire, Volpe a	nd Koenig, P.C.
mited Maza, Su	nte 1600, 30 South 17th Street,	Philadelphia, PA 19103	(215) 568-6400

	•	PROOF OF SERVICE
	DATE	PLACE
SERVED		
SERVED ON IPAINT HAME		MANNER OF SERVICE
ERVED BY PRINT HAME		TITLE
		DECLARATION OF SERVER
ained in the Proof of Sen	ity of perjury und vice is true and o	er the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information orrect.
ecuted on	MTE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoens shall take ressonable steps to avoid imposing undue bur-den or expense on a person subject to that subpoens. The court on behalf of which the subpoens was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2)(A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of pro-duction or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(III) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of the subpoens or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or at-tomey designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoens shall not be entitled to inspect and copy the materials of inspect the premises except pur-suant to an order of the court by which the subpoens was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significard expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoens was issued shall quash or modify the subpoons if it

(i) falls to allow reasonable time for compliance; (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(8)(60) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or

(M) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or in-

formation not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to trivel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoens, quash or modify the subpoens or if the party in whose behalf the subpoens is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

- A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce their as they are store in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (2) When information subject to a subpoens is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to context the Claim.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE, WESTERN DIVISION

FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION,

No. 01-2503 MA/ BRE

Plaintiff,

v.

ACCU-SORT SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

Schedule A

A. <u>Definitions</u>

- A. Whenever appropriate to a request, the singular form of a word is to be interpreted as encompassing the plural thereof.
- B. The words "and", "or" and "any" are to be construed as inclusive rather than exclusive.
- C. As used herein, "concerning", "concerned with", "support",
 "supporting", "referring", "relating", "relate to" or "refer to," in any form request
 everything within the scope of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 26 (b) (1).
- D. "Accu-Sort" means Accu-Sort Systems, Inc. and includes any and all agents or affiliates or predecessors in interest, business entities, officers, directors, employees or others respondent believes or believed to be in privity with it.
- E. "FedEx" means Federal Express Corporation and includes any and all agents or affiliates or predecessors in interest, business entities, officers, directors, employees or others respondent believes or believed to be in privity with it.

F. "Datasafe" means Datasafe, Inc. and includes any and all agents or affiliates or predecessors in interest, business entities, officers, directors, employees or others in privity with it.

B. Document Requests

- 1. Any document concerning communications between Brett Bonner and Datasafe.
- 2. Any document concerning settlement discussions between FedEx and Datasafe.
- 3. Any document concerning any settlement agreement between FedEx and Datasafe.
- Any document concerning any declaration or affidavit filed in Datasafe,
 Inc. v. Federal Express Corporation, Civil Action No. 01-2590 (Middlesex Superior Court).
- Any document referring or relating to any deposition of a FedEx employee or agent in *Datasafe, Inc. v. Federal Express Corporation*, Civil Action No. 01-2590 (Middlesex Superior Court).
- 6. Any document concerning any responses to interrogatories, document requests or requests for admission, served in *Datasafe*, *Inc. v. Federal Express Corporation*, Civil Action No. 01-2590 (Middlesex Superior Court).
 - 7. Any document concerning Accu-Sort.

- 8. Any document concerning any litigation involving FedEx and Accu-Sort.
- 9. Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment on Defendant's Counterclaim (Paper No. 85) filed in Datasafe, Inc. v. Federal Express Corporation, Civil Action No. 01-2590 (Middlesex Superior Court).
- 10. The Joint Pre-Trial Memorandum (Paper No. 89) filed in *Datasafe, Inc. v.*Federal Express Corporation, Civil Action No. 01-2590 (Middlesex Superior Court).
- 11. All documents referred to or related to Paper Nos. 63, 64, 76, 77, 78, 79, 83, 85, 89 as identified in the Civil Docket Case Summary (Docket) for *Datasafe*, *Inc. v.*Federal Express Corporation, Civil Action No. 01-2590 (Middlesex Superior Court).
 - 12. A document identifying the last known address of David F. Muller.
 - 13. A document identifying the last known address of Datasafe.